1	DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice)	
2	ADAM C. HEMLOČK (pro hac vice) DAVID E. YOLKUT (pro hac vice)	
3	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue	
4	New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000	
5	Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 E-mail: adam.hemlock@weil.com	
6	BAMBO OBARO (267683) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
7	201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, California 94065-1175	
8	Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100	
9	E-mail: bambo.obaro@weil.com	
10	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice)	
11	MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
12	200 Park Avenue	
13	New York, New York 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700	
14	Facsimile: (212) 294-7400 E-mail: jkessler@winston.com	
15	Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporati	ion, Panasonic Corporation of North America, and
16	MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18		ICISCO DIVISION
19		
	In re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC
20		MDL No. 1917
21	This Document Relates to:	Individual Case No. 3:14-cv-02510
22	ViewSonic Corporation v. Chunghwa	DECLARATION OF ADAM C. HEMLOCK IN SUPPORT OF THE
23	Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 3:14-cv-02510	PANASONIC DEFENDANTS'
24		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO CIVIL
25		LOCAL RULE 79-5(d) AND 7-11
26		
27		
28		

MDL No. 1917

Case No. 3:14-cv-02510

DECL. OF ADAM C. HEMLOCK ISO PANASONIC DEFENDANTS'

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

I, Adam C. Hemlock, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, counsel for Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. (collectively, the "Panasonic Defendants") in these actions. I am a member of the bar of the State of New York, and I am admitted to practice before this Court pro hac vice.
- 2. I submit this Declaration in support of the Panasonic Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 79-5(d) and 7-11. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 3. On June 18, 2008, the Court approved a "Stipulated Protective Order" in this matter (Dkt. No. 306) (the "Protective Order").
- 4. Pursuant to the Protective Order and Local Civil Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d), the Panasonic Defendants seek to seal the following concurrently-filed document: the highlighted portions of the Reply in Support of Panasonic Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and to Compel Arbitration against Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation ("ViewSonic").
- 5. The highlighted portions of the Reply in Support of Panasonic Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and to Compel Arbitration reference confidential information contained in the OEM supply agreement previously sealed pursuant to the Order Granting the Panasonic Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, dated September 5, 2014 (Dkt. 2800). Specifically, portions of pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Reply in Support of Panasonic Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and to Compel Arbitration contain such confidential information.
- 6. The Panasonic Defendants seek to submit the material referenced herein under seal in good faith in order to comply with the Protective Order in this action and the applicable Local Rules. Because the information in the materials that the Panasonic Defendants seek to submit under seal have been designated as "Highly Confidential" by ViewSonic (the "Designating Party"), the Panasonic Defendants are filing the accompanying Motion to File Under Seal, and will be prepared to file unredacted versions of the Reply in Support of Panasonic Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2901-1 Filed 10/06/14 Page 3 of 3

1	to Compel Arbitration in the public record "[i]f the Designating Party does not file a responsive		
2	declaration as required by subsection 79-5(e)(1) and the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal is		
3	denied." Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(2).		
4			
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
6	Executed on October 6, 2014 at New York, New York.		
7	By: <u>/s/ Adam C. Hemlock</u> ADAM C. HEMLOCK		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	3		